

Engaging in TAP Implementation

PRESENTER NAME: Eric Wolf

BOARD MEETING DATE: 9/12/2017

BOARD MEMBER SPONSOR NAME: Perry England

TIME ALLOTTED: 1 hr

<p>ISSUE/SITUATION: Be concise - 1 or 2 sentences that get to the heart of the situation, problem or opportunity being addressed.</p>	<p>THE ISSUE/OPPORTUNITY IS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At the Board retreat, members expressed an interest in understanding the role state administrative agencies are playing in advancing TAP implementation. Also at the retreat, Board members requested that workforce system partners highlight their business engagement initiatives at Board meetings over the next year. 2. The Board will have the opportunity to learn about the Employment Security Department's (ESD) existing business engagement efforts, and their coordinated efforts with the Washington Workforce Association.
<p>TAP STRATEGIC PRIORITY: Which TAP strategic priority or priorities does this recommendation support? Can you tie to specific goals and objectives in TAP? Briefly describe these connections. If the connection is unclear, describe why this is of consequence to the Workforce Board and/or workforce system.</p>	<p>SUPPORTS TAP STRATEGIC PRIORITY: Supports TAP overall implementation. This presentation is specifically tied to the Business Engagement priority.</p>
<p>POTENTIAL IMPACT: Effect on people, businesses, communities. What is better or different from other existing strategies?</p>	<p>IT IS SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE: Workforce system partners each have specific responsibilities in the implementation of TAP generally, and specifically regarding existing and developing business engagement efforts. Presentations and subsequent discussions will improve understanding of how they complement (or conflict with) one another. Board members will gain a deeper understanding of how each system partner's initiatives operate today, and explore how the Board could support system integration of business engagement efforts.</p>
<p>OPTIMAL NEXT STEPS: What do you really want to happen as a result of this discussion with the Workforce Board?</p>	<p>MY IDEAL OUTCOME OF THIS DISCUSSION IS: Board members will have new tools that help them identify and isolate areas where implementation of TAP goals has stalled, offering an opportunity to provide strategic guidance and mid-stream adjustments.</p>
<p>BACKGROUND: Short history of how this recommendation came to be. What has been tried, to what result? What evidence exists to support this</p>	<p>RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION: At the 2017 Board Retreat, the Workforce Board members expressed a desire to learn more about the role of state administrative agencies and about existing business engagement efforts of all partner agencies. Board staff is organizing short presentations at upcoming meetings by leaders and staff from partner agencies, highlighting the impact, scope, successes, and</p>



<p>recommendation?</p>	<p>challenges to scaling their business engagement initiatives, and to advancing TAP implementation.</p> <p>The Board will continue to receive updates on the committees' work and progress toward meeting their goals during in the supplemental materials provided in the Brown Folder. For the September 2017 Board meeting, the most timely update is the comprehensive chart of Progress towards TAP Plan Goals that was distributed at the Board Retreat. A copy of that chart is included in this month's Brown Folder along with the most recent update of the "Red-Yellow-Green" chart, from July 2017.</p> <p>There is time set aside on the agenda to ask questions of staff based on any of the supplemental materials.</p>
<p>STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT, PROS AND CONS: Which stakeholders have been engaged in the development of this recommendation? What are the pros and cons of this recommendation? According to whom (which stakeholder groups)? Are there viable alternatives to consider?</p>	<p>STAKEHOLDERS HAVE PROVIDED INPUT AND THEY THINK:</p> <p>N/A</p>
<p>FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND IMPACT: What will it cost to enact this recommendation? What resources will be used? Are new resources required? How much? Where will existing or new resources come from? Are there savings to be gained from this investment? Over what period? Are there other returns on investment to consider?</p>	<p>THE COST AND RESOURCE NEEDS OF THIS RECOMMENDATION ARE:</p> <p>N/A</p>



RECOMMENDATION AND NEXT STEPS:	THE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR REQUESTED ACTION IS:
What specific result do you want from the Board? Is this recommendation for discussion or action? If for discussion, will action be required at a later date? What next steps are expected after this discussion?	N/A

