

TAP Implementation Update:

PRESENTER NAME: Eric Wolf

BOARD MEETING DATE: 7/11/2018

BOARD MEMBER SPONSOR NAME:

DISCUSSION TIME ALLOTTED:

<p>ISSUE/SITUATION: Be concise - 1 or 2 sentences that get to the heart of the situation, problem or opportunity being addressed.</p>	<p>THE ISSUE/OPPORTUNITY IS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Board’s July meeting is an opportunity to check in on TAP implementation progress. In keeping with the emphasis at this meeting on Business Engagement, discussion will be focused on how TAP Implementation Committees have integrated the goals of Business Engagement into their charters and work. 2. Gary Chandler from Association of Washington Business will spend much of the presentation time leading a discussion with Board members about AWB’s efforts to engage with the state workforce system and the business community’s priorities for workforce system reform.
<p>TAP STRATEGIC PRIORITY: Which TAP strategic priority or priorities does this recommendation support? Can you tie to specific goals and objectives in TAP? Briefly describe these connections. If the connection is unclear, describe why this is of consequence to the Workforce Board and/or workforce system.</p>	<p>SUPPORTS TAP STRATEGIC PRIORITY: TAP Implementation Committees were designated to ensure progress is being made to attain the goals of the state plan.</p>
<p>POTENTIAL IMPACT: Effect on people, businesses, communities. What is better or different from other existing strategies?</p>	<p>IT IS SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE: The Board has an opportunity today to assess where the implementation committees have made progress integrating Business Engagement as an element of their charters, and to dialogue with leaders of the business community about other ways the workforce system might engage them.</p>
<p>OPTIMAL NEXT STEPS: What do you really want to happen as a result of this discussion with the Workforce Board?</p>	<p>MY IDEAL OUTCOME OF THIS DISCUSSION IS: Board members will inform staff what follow up information the Board needs in order to create a policy agenda supporting TAP implementation at their upcoming Retreat.</p>
<p>BACKGROUND: Short history of how this recommendation came to be. What has been tried, to what result? What evidence exists to support this recommendation?</p>	<p>RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION</p> <p><u>NEW Questionnaire for Committee Leaders</u> Staff has interviewed the TAP Implementation Committee Chairs, asking them to identify specific reform actions that might be taken to overcome implementation barriers—in particular, barriers that prevent their committees from fully integrating business engagement as a key priority in their work. Moreover, these questions are meant to surface potential issues of discussion for future Board Retreat discussion</p>

	<p>on next year’s Legislative Agenda.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify barriers to goal completion—monetary resource, human resource, policy, etc. 2. Is legislative action necessary to overcome any of those barriers? Or could legislative action help overcome any of those barriers? 3. In what ways has your committee collaborated with another committee? 4. How has your committee incorporated a focus on engaging the business-customers of the workforce system? <p>Board members will receive a brief summary of committee-level efforts on business engagement during the TAP Implementation Update, before turning most of the time over for a presentation from AWB</p> <p><u>Association of Washington Business Presentation</u> Board Member Gary Chandler will lead a conversation among board members about the Association of Washington Business’ recent workforce initiatives and efforts to engage with the workforce system. Board members will have the opportunity to dialogue about particular policy reform ideas being discussed among the business community represented by AWB.</p>
<p>STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT, PROS AND CONS: Which stakeholders have been engaged in the development of this recommendation? What are the pros and cons of recommendation? According to whom (which stakeholder groups)? Are there viable alternatives to consider?</p>	<p>STAKEHOLDERS HAVE PROVIDED INPUT AND THEY THINK: n/a</p>
<p>FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND IMPACT: What will it cost to enact this recommendation? What resources will be used? Are new resources required? How much? Where will existing or new resources come from? Are there savings to be gained from this investment? Over what period? Are there other returns on investment to consider?</p>	<p>THE COST AND RESOURCE NEEDS OF THIS RECOMMENDATION ARE: No fiscal impact at this stage of the process.</p>

<p>RECOMMENDATION AND NEXT STEPS: What specific result do you want from the Board? Is this recommendation for discussion or action? If for discussion, will action be required at a later date? What next steps are expected after this discussion?</p>	<p>THE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR REQUESTED ACTION IS: No action at this time. The Board will discuss feedback received from Implementation Committee chairs and direct staff to prepare additional information for the Board retreat, as necessary.</p>
--	--